## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ENRIQUE PEDRAZA, : CIVIL ACTION

Petitioner, :

NO. 05-1841

v. :

:

FRANKLIN J. TENNIS, et al.,

Respondents. :

## **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

BUCKWALTER, S.J. October 5, 2005

Petitioner has filed objections to Magistrate Judge Thomas J. Rueter's Report and Recommendation, but there is no merit to them. Petitioner has clearly not exhausted his state remedies. As Magistrate Judge Rueter points out:

Because a dismissal of the petition will not jeopardize the timeliness of a subsequent petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d), the court should dismiss the petition rather than stay the petition pending the final resolution of the state appellate proceedings. <u>See Rhines v. Weber</u>, 125 S.Ct. 1528, 1535 (2005); <u>Crews v. Horn</u>, 360 F.3d 146, 153 (3d Cir. 2004).

An order follows.

**AND NOW**, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005, upon careful and independent consideration of the pleadings and record herein, and after review of the Report and Recommendation of Thomas J. Rueter, United States Magistrate Judge, and Petitioner's objections thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

1. The Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED;

ejudice,
1